BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

Original Application No. 313/2015 (M.A. No. 820/2015)

Jasvir Vs. U.P.P.C.B. & Ors.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE U.D. SALVI, JUDICIAL MEMBER HON'BLE MR. RANJAN CHATTERJEE, EXPERT MEMBER

Present: Applicant /Appellant Respondent No. 2 Respondent No. 1 Respondent No. 3 Respondent No. 5 Respondent No. 7

and

Date

Remarks

Item No. 7 September 16, 2015 :Appearance not marked
:Mr. Pradeep Misra, Mr. Daleep Dhyani Advs.
:Ms. Savitri Pandey, Ms. Azma Parveen, Advs.
: Mr. Raj Kumar, Adv.
:Mr. Amit Wadhwa, Raunak Parekh, Advs.
: Mr. Suraj Prakash Singh and Ms. Pushila Bisht,Adv.

In the present petition the applicant is seeking directions to the Nagar Nigam to install the rendering plant at the slaughter house. One of the main grievance of the applicant is that the slaughter house is being run without any facility of rendering plant made available there in violation of the conditions stipulated for grant of consent to operate. We were therefore, prompted to grant interim relief directing the stoppage of the slaughter house without there be any facility for rendering plant made available for the proper disposal of the offal products vide order dated 14.08.2015.

Orders of the Tribunal

We have before us today the project proponent, CPCB and Nagar Nigam. The project proponent has filed the objections dated 09.09.2015 (page-87) to the application along with the supporting documents annexed thereto. It is the case of the project proponent that the condition No. 8 specifically mentions that the Nagar Nigam shall dispose of generated useless fat, bone and mass in the rendering plant which has received consent of the Board and as such the offal products are taken to M/s Hump Fruit (P) Ltd. situate at Hapur for its disposal in accordance with law. It is revealed at Annexure O1 to the reply/objections that the M/s Hump Fruit (P) Ltd. is running rendering plant with the consent of the UPPCB dated 22.04.2014 valid till 31.12.2015.

Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the Respondent No. 7, project proponent submits that they have made application to the UPPCB for installing rendering plant within the premises of the slaughter house and no sooner the permission is granted steps would be taken to install and commence such rendering plant and it would take 3 months for commissioning of such plant.

Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the CPCB submits that he has been instructed to make the statement on behalf of the UPPCB. According to him, the application for grant of consent to operate the Rendering Plant will be considered in accordance with law and will be disposed of within 4 weeks.

We do not see any further reason to indulge ourselves in the present application unless the applicant has something more to say. Presently none appears on behalf of the applicant. Keep this application for final disposal with a caveate that the application will be disposed of or dismissed if none appears on behalf of the applicant on the next date.

The applicant shall provide copies of the application along with the annexures thereto to the learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the Respondents within 2 days, if not furnished.

List this matter on 23 rd September, 2015.
JM (U.D. Salvi)
EM (Ranjan Chatterjee)

